

A DISJOINTNESS CRITERION FOR BEATTY'S SEQUENCES

KIM, SUNGJIN

1. INTRODUCTION

Let α be an irrational number with $\alpha > 1$. We denote $S(\alpha)$ by

$$S(\alpha) = \{\lfloor n\alpha \rfloor \mid n \in \mathbb{N}\}.$$

In 1926, Sam Beatty [1] proved that if α, β are positive irrational numbers, then the disjoint union of $S(\alpha)$ and $S(\beta)$ is \mathbb{N} if and only if $\frac{1}{\alpha} + \frac{1}{\beta} = 1$. It is easy to see that if α, β are positive irrational numbers and

$$\frac{k}{\alpha} + \frac{l}{\beta} = 1$$

for some positive integers k, l , then $S(\alpha) \cap S(\beta) = \emptyset$.

In this note, we shall show that the converse of this statement is also valid. In addition, we deduce that $S(\alpha) \cap S(\beta)$ is either empty or infinite.

Theorem. For irrational numbers $\alpha, \beta > 1$,

$$S(\alpha) \cap S(\beta) = \emptyset$$

if and only if

$$\frac{k}{\alpha} + \frac{l}{\beta} = 1$$

for some positive integers k, l .

2. LEMMAS

We denote $B(x, y, r)$ by an open ball in \mathbb{R}^2 centered at (x, y) with radius r . For a real number x , (x) denotes the fractional part of x . For real numbers α and β , we define $S(\alpha, \beta)$ by

$$S(\alpha, \beta) = \{((n\alpha), (n\beta)) \mid n \in \mathbb{N}\}.$$

Lemma 1. Let m, n, r be positive integers. Then, $\lfloor n\alpha \rfloor = \lfloor m\beta \rfloor = r - 1$ if and only if $(\frac{r}{\alpha}) \leq \frac{1}{\alpha}$ and $(\frac{r}{\beta}) \leq \frac{1}{\beta}$.

Proof. We observe that $\lfloor n\alpha \rfloor = \lfloor m\beta \rfloor = r - 1$ is equivalent to any of the following statements:

$$\begin{aligned} n\alpha < r \leq n\alpha + 1, \quad m\beta < r \leq m\beta + 1; \\ n < \frac{r}{\alpha} \leq n + \frac{1}{\alpha}, \quad m < \frac{r}{\beta} \leq m + \frac{1}{\beta}; \\ 0 < \left(\frac{r}{\alpha}\right) \leq \frac{1}{\alpha}, \quad 0 < \left(\frac{r}{\beta}\right) \leq \frac{1}{\beta}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, Lemma 1 follows. □

Lemma 2 (Kronecker's Theorem). *If 1, α , β are linearly independent over \mathbb{Q} , then the set $S(\alpha, \beta)$ is dense in $[0, 1]^2$.*

Proof. See [2], p382. □

Lemma 3. *Let $\alpha > 1$, $\beta > 1$ be irrational numbers satisfying $\frac{k}{\alpha} + \frac{l}{\beta} = m$ with k, l, m relatively prime integers and $l > 0$. Then $S(\frac{1}{\alpha}, \frac{1}{\beta})$ is dense in*

$$[0, 1]^2 \cap \{(x, y) | kx + ly \in \mathbb{Z}\}.$$

Proof. Let $(x, y) \in [0, 1]^2$ be such that $kx + ly = z$ for some $z \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $0 < \varepsilon < d/2$, where d is the distance between two lines, $kx + ly = 0$, $kx + ly = 1$. Using the pigeon hole principle, we get

$$v = (v_1, v_2) = \left(\binom{n_2}{\alpha} - \binom{n_1}{\alpha}, \binom{n_2}{\beta} - \binom{n_1}{\beta} \right)$$

with positive integers n_1, n_2 ($n_1 < n_2$), and $|v| < \varepsilon < \frac{d}{2}$. Since $(k, l, m) = 1$, for any $z \in \mathbb{Z}$, there is a triple (n, z_1, z_2) with $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $z_1 \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $z_2 \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that

$$mn + kz_1 + lz_2 = z$$

From $|v| < d$, we have $kv_1 + lv_2 = 0$. Then, we get

$$k \left(\frac{n}{\alpha} + z_1 + Nv_1 \right) + l \left(\frac{n}{\beta} + z_2 + Nv_2 \right) = mn + kz_1 + lz_2 = z$$

for any $N \in \mathbb{N}$. Hence, we can find a positive integer N and integers u_1, u_2 such that

$$\left(\frac{n}{\alpha} + z_1 + Nv_1, \frac{n}{\beta} + z_2 + Nv_2 \right) \in B(u_1 + x, u_2 + y, \varepsilon)$$

Thus, Lemma 3 follows. □

3. PROOF OF THE THEOREM

Let $\alpha > 1, \beta > 1$ be irrational numbers satisfying $S(\alpha) \cap S(\beta) = \phi$. 1, $\frac{1}{\alpha}, \frac{1}{\beta}$ are either linearly independent over \mathbb{Q} or linearly dependent over \mathbb{Q} . The latter case we multiply a nonzero integer to get $\frac{k}{\alpha} + \frac{l}{\beta} = m$, with k, l, m relatively prime integers, and $l > 0$. Since $k \neq 0$, we divide the latter into two cases $k < 0$, and $k > 0$.

Case 1. 1, $\frac{1}{\alpha}, \frac{1}{\beta}$ are linearly independent over \mathbb{Q} .

By Lemma 2, $S(\frac{1}{\alpha}, \frac{1}{\beta})$ is dense in $[0, 1]^2$. Then we have

$$S\left(\frac{1}{\alpha}, \frac{1}{\beta}\right) \cap \left(0, \frac{1}{\alpha}\right] \times \left(0, \frac{1}{\beta}\right]$$

is an infinite set. This implies

$$\left(\frac{r}{\alpha}\right) \leq \frac{1}{\alpha}, \left(\frac{r}{\beta}\right) \leq \frac{1}{\beta}$$

for infinitely many positive integers r . Using this and Lemma 1, we have $S(\alpha) \cap S(\beta)$ is an infinite set.

Case 2. $\frac{k}{\alpha} + \frac{l}{\beta} = m$, with k, l, m relatively prime integers, $l > 0$ and $k < 0$.

The set

$$\{(x, y) | kx + ly \in \mathbb{Z}\} \cap \left(0, \frac{1}{\alpha}\right] \times \left(0, \frac{1}{\beta}\right]$$

contains a line segment of $kx + ly = 0$. By Lemma 3, the set

$$S\left(\frac{1}{\alpha}, \frac{1}{\beta}\right) \cap \left(0, \frac{1}{\alpha}\right] \times \left(0, \frac{1}{\beta}\right]$$

is an infinite set. This implies $S(\alpha) \cap S(\beta)$ is an infinite set as in Case 1.

Case 3. $\frac{k}{\alpha} + \frac{l}{\beta} = m$ with k, l, m relatively prime integers, $l > 0$ and $k > 0$.

Since $S(\alpha) \cap S(\beta) = \phi$, we have

$$(1) \quad S\left(\frac{1}{\alpha}, \frac{1}{\beta}\right) \cap \left(0, \frac{1}{\alpha}\right] \times \left(0, \frac{1}{\beta}\right] = \phi$$

by Lemma 1. It follows that

$$\{(x, y) | kx + ly \in \mathbb{Z}\} \cap \left(0, \frac{1}{\alpha}\right] \times \left(0, \frac{1}{\beta}\right]$$

does not contain any line segment, otherwise it contradicts (1) by Lemma 3.

This implies

$$\frac{1}{\beta} \leq -\frac{k}{l} \frac{1}{\alpha} + \frac{1}{l}$$

which is equivalent to $m \leq 1$. Thus, we obtain $m = 1$.

By Cases 1–3, we complete the proof of the Theorem.

REFERENCES

1. Sam, Beatty, *Problem 3173*, Amer. Math. Monthly, 1926, p159.
2. G. H. Hardy and E. M. Wright, *An Introduction to the Theory of Numbers*, 4th edition, Oxford At The Clarendon Press, 1960.