# Division Algebras Whose $p^i$ -th Powers Have Arbitrary Index

#### B.A. Sethuraman

California State University, Northridge
Northridge, California 91330

#### 1. Introduction.

Let p be an arbitrary prime, and let D be a division algebra of index  $p^n$  and exponent  $p^k$  ( $n \geq k \geq 1$ ). It is well known that for any i ( $1 \leq i \leq k$ ),  $ind(D^{p^i}) \leq p^{n-i}$  ([A, p. 76, Lemma 7]). Let  $n_k > n_{k-1} > \ldots > n_1 > n_0 = 0$  be an arbitrary sequence of integers. The question of whether there exists a division algebra D such that  $ind(D) = p^{n_k}$ ,  $ind(D^p) = p^{n_{k-1}}$ , ...,  $ind(D^{p^{k-1}}) = p^{n_1}$ , and  $ind(D^{p^k}) = p^{n_0} = 1$  (so  $\exp(D) = p^k$ ) was first investigated by Schofield and van den Bergh ([ScvdB, Construction 2.8]), who showed by construction that such a division algebra indeed does exist. Their construction, which is a corollary to some very deep results about generic splitting fields, is iterative and involves generic division algebras at every stage, along with passage to function fields of suitable Brauer-Severi varieties. Because of the use of generic methods, their final division algebra is somewhat complicated, (for instance, its center is hard to describe explicitly), and it is reasonable to ask if elementary examples exist.

We provide in this paper an elementary construction of a division algebra with the property above. The construction is based on repeated use of symbol algebras of the form  $(x, x^{p^n} - y; p, F_0(y)(x), \omega)$ , where  $F_0$  is a field containing suf-

ficiently many roots of unity, x and y are indeterminates, n is a suitable integer, and  $\omega$  is a primitive p-th root of unity. The division algebra that results from this process is actually a symbol algebra over a rational function field over  $F_0$ , and is thus somewhat amenable to computation. Further, as an offshoot, this construction yields new examples of indecomposable algebras of index  $p^{n_i+t}$  and exponent  $p^{i+t}$   $(1 \le i \le k, t = 1, 2, ...)$ .

Our basic approach is formalized in the following theorem. (If R is any ring, Z(R) will denote the center of R.)

THEOREM 1. Let  $F_0$  be a field containing all primitive  $p^i$ -th roots of unity (i = 1, 2, ...), and let y be an indeterminate. Let C be a class of finite-dimensional division algebras such that

- 1. For any  $D \in \mathcal{C}$ , Z(D) is an extension field of  $F_0(y)$ .
- 2. C contains some extension field of  $F_0(y)$ .
- 3. For any  $D \in \mathcal{C}$ , if  $\operatorname{ind}(D) = p^n$ , and if

$$E = (D \otimes_{Z(D)} Z(D)(x)) \otimes_{Z(D)(x)} (x, x^{p^n} - y; p, Z(D)(x), \omega)$$

where x is a new indeterminate, then  $E \in \mathcal{C}$ . (In particular, E is a division algebra of index  $p^{n+1}$ .)

4. For any  $D \in \mathcal{C}$ , there exists a division algebra  $\Delta \in \mathcal{C}$  such that  $Z(\Delta) = Z(D)$ ,  $\Delta^p \sim D$ , and  $\operatorname{ind}(\Delta) = p \cdot \operatorname{ind}(D)$ .

Let  $n_k > n_{k-1} > \cdots > n_1 > n_0 = 0$  be a sequence of integers. Then  $\mathcal{C}$  contains an algebra A such that  $\operatorname{ind}(A) = p^{n_k}$ ,  $\operatorname{ind}(A^p) = p^{n_{k-1}}$ , ...,  $\operatorname{ind}(A^{p^{k-1}}) = p^{n_1}$ , and  $\operatorname{ind}(A^{p^k}) = p^{n_0} = 1$ .

PROOF: By properties (2) and (4), C contains a division algebra  $B_1$  of index p. If  $n_1 = 1$ , we set  $A_1 = B_1$ . Else, let  $X = \{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{n_1-1}\}$  be a set of new indeterminates. We set

$$A_{1} = (B_{1} \otimes_{Z(B_{1})} Z(B_{1})(X)) \otimes_{Z(B_{1})(X)} (x_{1}, x_{1}^{p} - y; p, Z(B_{1})(X), \omega) \otimes_{Z(B_{1})(X)} \dots$$
$$\otimes_{Z(B_{1})(X)} (x_{n_{1}-1}, x_{n_{1}-1}^{p^{n_{1}-1}} - y; p, Z(B_{1})(X), \omega).$$

By repeated application of property (3),  $A_1 \in \mathcal{C}$ , and is thus a division algebra of index  $p^{n_1}$ . It is clear that  $A_1$  has exponent p.

Let  $Z_1 = Z(A_1)$ . It will be convenient to set  $A_0 = Z_0 = Z_1$ . Now assume that for  $1 \leq j \leq i < k$ ,  $A_j \in \mathcal{C}$  has been chosen such that  $A_j$  has index  $p^{n_j}$ , and if  $Z(A_j) = Z_j$ , then  $Z_j$  is a purely transcendental extension of  $Z_{j-1}$  and  $A_j^p \sim A_{j-1} \otimes_{Z_{j-1}} Z_j$ . By property (4),  $\mathcal{C}$  contains an algebra  $B_{i+1}$  with center  $Z_i$  such that  $B_{i+1}^p \sim A_i$  and  $ind(B_{i+1}) = p^{n_i+1}$ . If  $n_{i+1} = n_i + 1$ , we set  $A_{i+1} = B_{i+1}$ . Otherwise, let  $s_{i+1} = n_{i+1} - n_i$ , and let  $U = \{u_1, u_2, \ldots, u_{s_{i+1}-1}\}$  be a set of new indeterminates. We set

$$A_{i+1} = (B_{i+1} \otimes_{Z_i} Z_i(U)) \otimes_{Z_i(U)} (u_1, u_1^{p^{n_1+1}} - y; p, Z_i(U), \omega) \otimes_{Z_i(U)} \dots$$
$$\otimes_{Z_i(U)} (u_{s_{i+1}-1}, u_{s_{i+1}-1}^{p^{s_{i+1}-1}} - y; p, Z_i(U), \omega).$$

We have  $Z_{i+1} = Z(A_{i+1}) = Z_i(U)$ , and  $A_{i+1}^p \sim B_{i+1}^p \otimes_{Z_i} Z_{i+1} \sim A_i \otimes_{Z_i} Z_{i+1}$ . Also, property (3) shows that  $A_{i+1} \in \mathcal{C}$ , and is thus a division algebra of index  $p^{s_{i+1}-1} \cdot ind(B_{i+1}) = p^{n_{i+1}}$ .

Proceeding inductively,  $A_k$  is the desired algebra.

The construction thus depends on the existence of a class of division algebras that has the properties stated in the theorem. We describe such a class in the next section.

The work described here is part of our doctoral dissertation, and we would like to thank our adviser Adrian Wadsworth for his constant encouragement. We would also like to thank the referee for some extremely insightful suggestions that drastically simplified our original arguments.

## 2. The class C.

Our class  $\mathcal{C}$  will consist of certain valued division algebras, i.e., division algebras D with a valuation  $v:D\to \Gamma$ , where  $\Gamma$  is a totally ordered abelian group. (See [JW, §1], for instance, for the basic definitions and terminology of valued division algebras.) The image of v will be denoted  $\Gamma_D$ . The valuation ring of D and its maximal ideal will be denoted  $V_D$  and  $M_D$  respectively, and  $\overline{D}$  will denote the residue  $V_D/M_D$ .

Note that all our division algebras need to contain  $F_0(y)$  (by property (1) of Theorem 1). We will restrict our attention to valued division algebras D such that

# (\*) the valuation on D restricts to the *trivial* valuation on $F_0(y)$ .

We will find it convenient to make the following definitions. Every division algebra is iteratively valued of height 0. In addition, a division algebra is iteratively valued of height h > 0 if it has a valuation v on it satisfying (\*) above such that  $\overline{D}$  is iteratively valued of height h - 1. (It is clear that the same division algebra can be iteratively valued of different heights  $h_1$  and  $h_2$  depending on the sequence of valuations chosen, but this will not be a concern.) We will denote the residue at the i-th stage by  $\overline{D}^{(i)}$ , and call  $\overline{D}^{(h)}$  the final residue of D.

If  $K = k(x_1, ..., x_n)$  is the rational function field over k in the n variables  $x_1, ..., x_n$ , and if  $\pi$  is any irreducible in the polynomial ring  $k[x_1, ..., x_n]$ , then the  $\pi$ -adic valuation on K is the discrete valuation of the ring obtained by localizing  $k[x_1, ..., x_n]$  at the height 1 prime ideal generated by  $\pi$ .

Recall that if K/k is an algebraic extension of fields, then any extension to K of the trivial valuation on k is also trivial. (This follows, for instance, from [E, Corollary 13.11], since  $\Gamma_k$  is trivial, so  $\Gamma_K/\Gamma_k$  is torsion implies that  $\Gamma_K$  is torsion. But the only totally ordered torsion group is the trivial group.)

Notice that since we require our valuations to be trivial on  $F_0(y)$ , the residue of any division algebra in  $\mathcal{C}$  will contain  $F_0(y)$ , and hence be of the same characteristic as  $F_0$ . Since  $F_0$  contains primitive  $p^i$ -th roots of unity (i = 1, 2, ...), the characteristic of  $F_0$  is not equal to p. It follows from [M, Theorem 3] that if  $D \in \mathcal{C}$ is of index a power of p, then D is defectless over Z(D).

We set  $\omega_0 = 1$ ,  $\omega_1 = \omega$ , and for each i = 2, 3, ..., we select a primitive  $p^i$ -th root of unity  $\omega_i$  such that  $\omega_i^p = \omega_{i-1}$ . If F is a field, then as a convention,  $(a, b; p^0, F, \omega_0)$  will just be the field F.

The following lemma and its corollary will be the key to our determination of  $\mathcal{C}$ .

LEMMA. Let F be an extension field of  $F_0(y)$  and suppose  $D = (y, a; p^n, F, \omega_n)$ (for some fixed  $n \geq 0$ ) is an iteratively valued division algebra of height h with final residue  $F_0(y^{p^{-(n)}})$ . Let x be a new indeterminate. Then the algebra

$$E = (D \otimes_F F(x)) \otimes_{F(x)} (x, x^{p^n} - y; p, F(x), \omega)$$

is an iteratively valued division algebra of height h+1 with final residue  $F_0(y^{p^{-(n+1)}})$ . Moreover,

$$E \cong (y, a^p \frac{x^{p^n} - y}{x^{p^n}}; p^{n+1}, F(x), \omega_{n+1}).$$

PROOF: Note that if K is any extension field of  $F_0(y)$ , and if v is a valuation on K that satisfies (\*), then v has an extension to the rational function field K(x) (that also satisfies (\*)) defined as follows:  $v(\sum_{i=0}^m k_i x^i) = \min\{v(k_i)\}$ , and v(f/g) = v(f) - v(g) for  $f, g \in K[x]$  with  $g \neq 0$ . The residue  $\overline{K(x)}$  is just the rational function field  $\overline{K}(x)$ , where by abuse of notation, we write x for its image modulo  $M_{K(x)}$ . (See [B, Section 10, Proposition 2].)

We will write  $Z_i$  for  $Z(\overline{D}^{(i)})$   $(i=1,\ldots,h)$ . It will be convenient to set

 $\overline{D}^{(0)} = D$  and  $Z_0 = F$ . We will show by reverse induction that for  $i = h, h-1, \ldots, 0$ ,

$$E_{i} = \left(\overline{D}^{(i)} \otimes_{Z_{i}} Z_{i}(x)\right) \otimes_{Z_{i}(x)} \left(x, x^{p^{n}} - y; p, Z_{i}(x), \omega\right)$$

is an iteratively valued division algebra of height h - i + 1 and final residue  $F_0(y^{p^{-(n+1)}})$ .

When i = h,  $E_h = (x, x^{p^n} - y; p, F_0(y^{p^{-(n)}})(x), \omega)$ . Write z for  $y^{p^{-n}}$  and recall that  $x^{p^n} - y$  factors into linear factors of the form  $x - \omega_n^j z$   $(j = 0, 1, \ldots, p^n - 1)$  in  $F_0(z)[x]$ . The field  $F_0(z)(x)((x^{p^n} - y)^{1/p})$  is thus totally ramified over  $F_0(z)(x)$  with respect to the (x - z)-adic valuation on  $F_0(z)(x)$ . On the other hand, the field  $F_0(z)(x^{1/p})$  is inertial over  $F_0(z)(x)$  with residue isomorphic to  $F_0(y^{p^{-(n+1)}})$ . Thus,  $E_h$  is a nicely semi-ramified (NSR) division algebra with respect to the (x - z)-adic valuation on  $F_0(z)(x)$ , with residue  $F_0(y^{p^{-(n+1)}})$  (see [JW, Example 4.3]). This valuation is clearly trivial on  $F_0(y)$ , so, in particular,  $E_h$  is iteratively valued of height 1 and final residue  $F_0(y^{p^{-(n+1)}})$ .

Now assume that  $E_i$  is iteratively valued of height h-i+1 and final residue  $F_0(y^{p^{-(n+1)}})$  for some  $i, h \geq i \geq 1$ . Consider  $E_{i-1}$ , and let v be the valuation on  $\overline{D}^{(i-1)}$  with respect to which  $\overline{D}^{(i-1)}$  is iteratively valued of height h-(i-1). Consider the extension of  $v|_{Z_{i-1}}$  to  $Z_{i-1}(x)$  described at the beginning of the proof, and denote it by w. The valuations v on  $\overline{D}^{(i-1)}$  and w on  $Z_{i-1}(x)$  then extend to a valuation on  $\overline{D}^{(i-1)} \otimes_{Z_{i-1}} Z_{i-1}(x)$ , which we also denote by v. (This follows, for instance, from [M, Theorem 1]. The residue of  $\overline{D}^{(i-1)} \otimes_{Z_{i-1}} Z_{i-1}(x)$  is just  $\overline{D}^{(i)} \otimes_{\overline{Z_{i-1}}} Z_{i-1}(x) \cong \overline{D}^{(i)} \otimes_{Z_i} Z_i(x)$ .) We first show that  $\Delta_{i-1} = (x, x^{p^n} - y; p, Z_{i-1}(x), \omega)$  is a division algebra and that w extends to this division algebra. For, let  $Z_{i-1}(x)_{hens}$  denote the henselization of  $Z_{i-1}(x)$  and let S be the underlying division algebra of  $(\Delta_{i-1})_{hens} = \Delta_{i-1} \otimes_{Z_{i-1}(x)} Z_{i-1}(x)_{hens}$ . (Thus,  $(\Delta_{i-1})_{hens} = M_n(S)$ , where n is either 1 or p.) The algebra  $A = (x, x^{p^n} - y; p, V_{Z_{i-1}(x)_{hens}}, \omega)$  is an Azumaya algebra over the valuation ring  $V_{Z_{i-1}(x)_{hens}}$  of  $Z_{i-1}(x)_{hens}$  and is

an order in  $(\Delta_{i-1})_{hens}$  (see [JW, Example 2.4(1)]). Thus, by [JW, Proposition 2.5], S is inertial over  $Z_{i-1}(x)_{hens}$ , and  $A \cong M_n(V_S)$ , where  $V_S$  is the valuation ring of S. Hence,  $A/AM_{Z_{i-1}(x)_{hens}} \cong M_n(\overline{S})$ . But  $A/AM_{Z_{i-1}(x)_{hens}}$  is just  $(x, x^{p^n} - y; p, \overline{Z_{i-1}}(x), \omega)$ . This is a division algebra, since by the induction hypothesis,  $(x, x^{p^n} - y; p, Z_i(x), \omega)$  is a division algebra, and  $\overline{Z_{i-1}} \subseteq Z_i$ . It follows that n = 1, so  $(\Delta_{i-1})_{hens}$  is an (inertial) division algebra. By [M, Theorem 2], w extends to  $\Delta_{i-1}$ , and  $(\Delta_{i-1})_{hens}/\Delta_{i-1}$  is an immediate extension.

Now write v' for the extension of w to  $\Delta_{i-1}$ . Since  $\Gamma_{v'} = \Gamma_{Z_{i-1}(x)}$  (as  $\Delta_{i-1}$  is inertial), we have  $\Gamma_v \cap \Gamma_{v'} = \Gamma_{Z_{i-1}(x)}$ . Also

$$(\overline{D}^{(i)} \otimes_{\overline{Z_{i-1}}} \overline{Z_{i-1}}(x)) \otimes_{\overline{Z_{i-1}}(x)} (x, x^{p^n} - y; p, \overline{Z_{i-1}}(x), \omega) \cong$$

$$(\overline{D}^{(i)} \otimes_{Z_i} Z_i(x)) \otimes_{Z_i(x)} (x, x^{p^n} - y; p, Z_i(x), \omega) = E_i,$$

which is a division algebra by the induction hypothesis. Since our algebras are all defectless, [M, Theorem 1] shows that  $E_{i-1}$  is a valued division algebra with a valuation that restricts to v on  $\overline{D}^{(i-1)}$  and v' on  $\Delta_{i-1}$ . The same theorem also shows that  $\overline{E_{i-1}} = E_i$ . The valuation on  $E_{i-1}$  is clearly trivial on  $F_0(y)$ , so  $E_{i-1}$  is iteratively valued of height h - (i-1) + 1 with final residue  $F_0(y^{p^{-(n+1)}})$ . By induction, we are done.

As for the final statement of the lemma, standard symbol algebra identities (see, for instance, [D1, Chapter 11, Lemmas 6 and 11] as well as the fact that -1 is a  $p^r$ -th power for all r show

$$E \sim (y, a; p^{n}, F(x), \omega_{n}) \otimes_{F(x)} (x^{p^{n}}, x^{p^{n}} - y; p^{n+1}, F(x), \omega_{n+1})$$

$$\sim (y, a^{p}; p^{n+1}, F(x), \omega_{n+1}) \otimes_{F(x)} (y, \frac{x^{p^{n}} - y}{x^{p^{n}}}; p^{n+1}, F(x), \omega_{n+1})$$

$$\sim (y, a^{p} \frac{x^{p^{n}} - y}{x^{p^{n}}}; p^{n+1}, F(x), \omega_{n+1}).$$

Since  $ind(E) = p^{n+1}$ , the similarity is actually an isomorphism.

COROLLARY. Let F be an extension field of  $F_0(y)$  and suppose  $(y, a; p^m, F, \omega_m)$  is an iteratively valued division algebra of height h and final residue  $F_0(y^{p^{-(m)}})$  for all  $m \geq n$  (where  $n \geq 0$  is a fixed integer). Let x be a new indeterminate. Then the algebra

$$E = (y, a^{p} \frac{x^{p^{n}} - y}{x^{p^{n}}}; p^{m+1}, F(x), \omega_{m+1})$$

is an iteratively valued division algebra of height h+1 and final residue  $F_0(y^{p^{-(m+1)}})$  for all  $m \ge n$ .

PROOF: We have

$$E \sim ((y, a; p^m, F, \omega_m) \otimes_F F(x)) \otimes_{F(x)} (x^{p^n}, x^{p^n} - y; p^{m+1}, F(x), \omega_{m+1}).$$
Let  $u = x^{p^{n-m}}$ , so  $[F(u) : F(x)] = p^{m-n}$ , and  $u^{p^m} = x^{p^n}$ . Thus,
$$E \otimes_{F(x)} F(u)$$

$$\sim ((y, a; p^m, F, \omega_m) \otimes_F F(u)) \otimes_{F(u)} (u^{p^m}, u^{p^m} - y; p^{m+1}, F(u), \omega_{m+1})$$

$$\sim ((y, a; p^m, F, \omega_m) \otimes_F F(u)) \otimes_{F(u)} (u, u^{p^m} - y; p, F(u), \omega).$$

Since  $E \otimes_{F(x)} F(u)$  has index atmost  $p^{m+1}$ , the lemma (applied to the right hand side of the similarity above) shows that  $E \otimes_{F(x)} F(u)$  is an iteratively valued division algebra of height h+1 and final residue  $F_0(y^{p^{-(m+1)}})$ . Thus, E is a division algebra. Moreover, the valuations on  $E \otimes_{F(x)} F(u)$  and its residues induce valuations on E and its residues that are clearly trivial on  $F_0(y)$ . We have  $\overline{E}^{(h+1)} \subseteq F_0(y^{p^{-(m+1)}})$ . On the other hand, E contains the field  $F_0(y^{p^{-(m+1)}})$ . Since the valuation on  $F_0(y^{p^{-(m+1)}})$  is necessarily trivial,  $\overline{E}^{(i)}$  will contain  $F_0(y^{p^{-(m+1)}})$  for  $i=1,2,\ldots,h+1$ . Thus,  $\overline{E}^{(h+1)}=F_0(y^{p^{-(m+1)}})$ .

We are now ready to describe our class  $\mathcal{C}$ .

THEOREM 2. Let F be an extension field of  $F_0(y)$ . The class of symbol algebras  $(y, a; p^n, F, \omega_n)$   $(n \ge 0)$  such that  $(y, a; p^m, F, \omega_m)$  is an iteratively valued division

algebra with finally residue  $F_0(y^{p^{-(m)}})$  for all  $m \ge n$  satisfies properties (1) through (4) of Theorem 1.

PROOF: Property (1) is trivially satisfied. As for (2), consider the algebras  $E_n = (y, x; p^n, F_0(y)(x), \omega_n)$  for  $n \geq 0$ . For  $n \geq 1$ ,  $E_n$  is an NSR division algebra with respect to the x-adic valuation on  $F_0(y)(x)$ , with residue  $F_0(y^{p^{-(n)}})$  (see [JW, Example 4.3]). Since for n = 0,  $E_0 = F_0(y)(x)$  has residue  $F_0(y)$  with respect to the x-adic valuation, we find that for all  $n \geq 0$ ,  $E_n$  is an iteratively valued division algebra of height 1 and final residue  $F_0(y^{p^{-(n)}})$ . In particular,  $F_0(y)(x) \in \mathcal{C}$ . Property (3) follows from the last statement of the lemma and the corollary. As for (4), if  $D = (y, a; p^n, F, \omega_n)$ , then  $\Delta = (y, a; p^{n+1}, F, \omega_{n+1})$  is also in  $\mathcal{C}$ , and  $\Delta$  satisfies  $\Delta^p \sim D$  and  $\operatorname{ind}(\Delta) = p \cdot \operatorname{ind}(D)$ .

Remark: If  $E_0 = (y, a; p^n, F, \omega_n) \in \mathcal{C}$  has exponent  $p^t$   $(t \leq n)$ , then for all i > 0,  $E_i = (y, a; p^{n+i}, F, \omega_{n+i})$  is an indecomposable division algebra of exponent  $p^{t+i}$ . For, when i > 0,  $E_i^p \sim E_{i-1}$ , so  $ind(E_i) = p^{n+i}$  and  $ind(E_i^p) = ind(E_{i-1}) = p^{n+i-1}$ . By Saltman's criterion ([S, Lemma 3.2]), each  $E_i$  (i > 0) is indeed indecomposable. The assertion about the exponent of  $E_i$  is clear.

### REFERENCES

- [A] A.A. Albert, Structure of Algebras, Amer. Math. Soc. Colloq. Pub., vol. 24, Providence, RI, 1961.
- [B] N. Bourbaki, Algèbre commutative, Chapitre VI, Hermann, Paris, 1961.
- [D1] P.K. Draxl, Skew fields, London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Series, Vol. 81, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1983.
- [D2] P.K. Draxl, Ostrowski's theorem for henselian valued skew fields, J. Reine. Angew Math., 354 (1984), 213–218.